1

**Criminal Revision** 

Present: The Hon'ble Justice Ashim Kumar Roy

C.R.R. No. 845 of 2010

Judgment On: 16-04-2010.

Mrinal Kanti Saha versus

State of West Bengal & Ors

**POINTS:** 

QUASHING-Cheque drawn on a Current Account but presented to Savings Accounts -Cheque

dishonoured- The petitioner contended that payment has already been made- Whether this fact can

be gone into and proceeding can be quashed-Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 S.138-Code of

Criminal Procedure, 1973 S.482

**FACTS:** 

The petitioner who has been arrayed as accused in a case relating to an offence punishable under

Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, has approached this Court for quashing of the same

on the ground that the cheque was drawn on a Current Account but the same was presented to his

Savings Accounts and consequently the cheque was dishonoured and the cheque amount has

already been repaid.

**HELD:** 

The Court is of the opinion that none of the ground on which the petitioner is seeking quashing is

tenable in law. According to the complainant the cheque was dishonoured and returned unpaid due

to insufficiency of the funds and not due to the presentation of cheque for encashment in a wrong

account. Moreover, the contention of the petitioner that payment has already been made is a

2

disputed question of fact and cannot be gone into at this stage. It is the further case of the

complainant in spite of demand notice no payment has been made against the dishonoured cheque.

Para-2

For Petitioner:

Mr. Debabrata Dasgupta

THE COURT:

1. Invoking Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner who has been arrayed as

accused in a case relating to an offence punishable under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments

Act, has approached this Court for quashing of the same on the following ground;

The cheque was drawn on a Current Account but same was presented to his Savings

Accounts and consequently the cheque was dishonoured and the cheque amount has already been

repaid.

2. Having regard to the submission made by the learned advocate appearing on behalf of the

petitioner and considering the materials on record I am of the opinion that none of the ground on

which the petitioner is seeking quashing is tenable in law. This is the case of the complainant the

cheque was dishonoured and returned unpaid due to insufficiency of the fund not due to the

presentation of cheque for encashment in a wrong account. Moreover, the contention of the

petitioner that payment has already been made is a disputed question of facts and cannot be gone

into at this stage. It is the further case of the complainant in spite of demand notice no payment has

been made against the dishonoured cheque.

3. This criminal revision has no merit and accordingly stands dismissed. Interim order, if any,

stands vacated.

4. Criminal Section is directed to deliver urgent Photostat certified copy of this Judgment to the parties, if applied for, as early as possible.

(Ashim Kumar Roy, J.)